

**REMARKS**

Applicants herein amend claims 30-32 for clarity. Such amendments are supported by the claims and specification as filed. Review and reconsideration of the application in view of Applicants' amendments and remarks are respectfully requested.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the indicated allowance of claims 1-10, 12-15, 23-26, 28-29 and 35-37. For at least the reasons set forth herein, Applicants respectfully submit all claims are in condition for allowance.

Claims 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicants traverse the rejection as follows.

Applicants herein amend claims 30-32 for clarity to state that the digitally enhanced rendering on a second portion of the comparison print is "the unenhanced image after adjusting." Applicants believe this clarifies that there is an unenhanced image and a digitally enhanced image that is the result of adjusting the unenhanced image. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection in view of the amendments are respectfully requested.

Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as allegedly directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants traverse the rejection for at least the following reasons.

The Office Action indicates claims 32-33 are drawn to non-functional descriptive material (an image print). Applicants do not understand the rejection. Claims 32 and 33 are each directed to a comparison print. A "print" comprises an image formed of a colorant on a medium including paper, synthetic, or other materials. The word "comparison" is an adjective used to describe the type of print. A "comparison print" is thus a tangible medium, which would be a manufacture or composition of matter, clearly within 35 U.S.C. §101.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection in view of Applicants' comments. Should the Examiner disagree, it is

respectfully requested the Examiner contact Applicants' representative to discuss the nature of the rejection.

Applicants submit all of claims 1-10, 12-15, 23-26, 28-33 and 35-37 are in condition for allowance for at least the above reasons. Reconsideration and prompt action in the form of a Notice of Allowance are respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner require anything further, or have any questions, the Examiner is asked to contact Applicants' undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,



Kathleen Neuner Manne  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 40,101

KNM:kjw  
Rochester, NY 14650  
Telephone: (585) 722-9225  
Facsimile: (585) 477-1148

**If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.**